

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F1	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,293 06/27/2003		06/27/2003	Albert R. Miller	82901	7899
24628	7590	10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
WELSH	& KATZ, L	LTD	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
120 S RIV	ERSIDE PL	AZA			
22ND FLO	OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606				3727	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	MUU
	10/609,293	MILLER ET AL.	U
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timenthing the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	→		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-			• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-29-03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		-152)

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "28" has been used to designate both the first liner layer and the container lugs in figure 2 and the closure lugs in figure 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "26" and "28" have both been used to designate closure lugs in figures 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 37. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR

Art Unit: 3727

1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- The drawings are objected to because the lead line of reference character 24 in figure 2 is 4. pointing to the inner surface of the top wall and it is unclear what reference character is associated with the surface of the second liner layer, i.e., the reference character is illegible. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show plastic material in the cross sectional views as alternating thick and thin lines as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary,

Art Unit: 3727

the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said intermediate" in line10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said first surface" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since more than one first surface has been previously set forth.

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-6,12,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finkelstein et al (US 5,598,940) in view of Hoge (US 2,162,455).

Art Unit: 3727

Finkelstein teaches the claimed venting cap liner except for at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers.

Hoge teaches a venting cap liner having at least one perforation extending therethrough.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers of Finkelstein. Doing so allows for venting of the associated container at the juncture of the closure and closure support while maintaining the engagement between the liner and the associated container rim.

Regarding the dimensions of the liner thickness, density, and perforation diameter, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the liner of any applicable dimension for the intended use of the venting cap liner on any associated container so as to allow venting to occur unobstructed.

9. Claims 7-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Costa et al. (US 5,730,306).

Finkelstein as modified teaches the claimed venting cap liner except for at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

Costa teaches it is known to provide at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer of Finkelstein. Doing so provides a vent path from the aperture across the cap liner to an outermost edge adjacent the closure support juncture, i.e., the container rim as seen in figure 5 of Costa.

Regarding the dimension channel depth, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the liner of any applicable dimension for the intended use of the venting cap liner on any associated container so as to allow venting to occur unobstructed.

Art Unit: 3727

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finkelstein in view of Hoge and Costa.

Finkelstein teaches the claimed venting cap liner except for at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers and at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

Hoge teaches a venting cap liner having at least one perforation extending therethrough.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers of Finkelstein. Doing so allows for venting of the associated container at the juncture of the closure and closure support while maintaining the engagement between the liner and the associated container rim.

Costa teaches it is known to provide at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer of Finkelstein. Doing so provides a vent path from the aperture across the cap liner to an outermost edge adjacent the closure support juncture, i.e., the container rim as seen in figure 5 of Costa.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top

Art Unit: 3727

of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

J.S. Pat	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial Notent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-9306 on the date shown	is being facsimiled to The below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
	Signature	
	Date	

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148 or may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH September 27, 2004

> Primary Examiner GAU 3727